

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**



PATENT  
PATENT

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Inventor: Chan, et al.  
Serial No.: 09/757,364  
Filed: January 8, 2001  
For: METHOD FOR JOINING LARGE  
SUBSTRATES  
Examiner: John T. Harlan  
Art Unit: 1733  
Atty Docket: 6136-53650

**CERTIFICATE OF  
MAILING/TRANSMISSION**  
(37 C.F.R. § 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

(X) deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

with an appropriate mail stop identified, if required.

( ) transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

11-26-03   
Date Jordan Wilson

**PETITION FOR REFUND**  
**37 C.F.R. §1.26**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
ATTN: Refund Section,  
Accounting Division  
Office of Finance

Sir:

This is a Petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.26 for a refund of \$1,040.00, which was an over payment submitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) on September 17, 2003 with a Petition for Extension of Time for the above-referenced application. A copy of the Petition of Extension of Time and the check for \$1,970.00 are attached.


The Petition for Extension of Time was submitted for an extension for response within the fifth month with the extension fee of \$1,970.00. The Petition was submitted incorrectly. The response to the Office Action mailed by the USPTO on March 20, 2003, was mailed to the within the third month of the extension period. Therefore, the petition fee required for response within the third month was, at that time, \$930.00.

within the third month of the extension period. Therefore, the petition fee required for response within the third month was, at that time, \$930.00.

Thus, a refund of \$1,040.00, is proper under 37 C.F.R. §1.26(a). This refund request is timely made under 37 C.F.R. §1.26(b), since it is made within two years of the date the initial extension fee was paid.

Please credit the refund of \$1,040.00 to Deposit Account No. 501394. For purposes of this credit to said Deposit Account, this Petition is submitted in duplicate.

Respectfully submitted,



David Schnapf  
Registration No. 31,566

November 26, 2003  
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP  
Four Embarcadero Center, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4106  
Tel: (415) 434-9100  
Fax: (415) 434-3947